Criminal Revision No. 272 of 2001
(Old No. 954/1990)

Hon'ble Irshad Hussain, J.

Heard. This criminal revision has been preferred by the State against the judgment and order dated 16-3-1990 passed by the Sessions Judge Pauri Garhwal.

It is reported that the record of the lower court has been weeded out.

The proceedings were intiated against the respondent under section 107/116 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Aggrieved by the order of S.D.M. the respondent No.1 had preferred a revision before the Sessions Judge which did not find any cogent reason, in the order of the S.D.M., to issue notice under section 111 of the Criminal Procedure Code and therefore the revision was allowed and the notice was discharged. A long period has elapsed when the order of requiring sureties from the respondent No.1 and considering the long lapse of period the cause of action to draw the proceedings under section 107/116 of the Criminal Procedure Code has exhausted and considering this the revision petition is dismissed.

(Irshad Hussain, J.)

Dated: 5-9-2003
ISB